CHARACTERIZATION OF LEFT COEXTENSIVE VARIETIES OF UNIVERSAL ALGEBRAS

DAVID NEAL BROODRYK

ABSTRACT. An extensive category can be defined as a category \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts such that for each pair X, Y of objects in \mathcal{C} , the canonical functor $+: \mathcal{C}/X \times \mathcal{C}/Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}/(X+Y)$ is an equivalence. We say that a category \mathcal{C} with finite products is left coextensive if the dual canonical functor $\times: X/\mathcal{C} \times Y/\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (X \times Y)/\mathcal{C}$ is fully faithful. We then give a syntactical characterization of left coextensive varieties of universal algebras.

An extensive category can be defined as a category \mathcal{C} with finite coproducts such that for each pair X, Y of objects in \mathcal{C} , the canonical functor $+: \mathcal{C}/X \times \mathcal{C}/Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}/(X+Y)$ is an equivalence. A category that satisfies the dual condition is called coextensive. According to [1], the term "extensive category" was first used by W. F. Lawvere and S. Schanuel, although "categories with disjoint and universal coproducts" were considered by A. Grothendieck a long time ago, and there are related papers of various authors. Examples of extensive categories include the category **Cat** of all small categories and the category **Top** of topological spaces. An example of a coextensive category is the category **CRing** of commutative rings.

1. DEFINITION. A category \mathcal{C} with finite products is called left coextensive if for each pair X, Y of objects in \mathcal{C} , the canonical functor $\times \colon X/\mathcal{C} \times Y/\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (X \times Y)/\mathcal{C}$ is fully faithful. Equivalently let L be the left adjoint of \times and let ε be the counit of this adjunction, then $L \colon (X \times Y)/\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow X/\mathcal{C} \times Y/\mathcal{C}$ sends $v \colon (X \times Y) \longrightarrow Z$ to the pair of canonical maps $(i_1, i_2) \colon (X, Y) \longrightarrow (X +_{X \times Y} Z, Y +_{X \times Y} Z)$ and \mathcal{C} is left coextensive if and only if ε is a natural isomorphism.

The use of the counit ε in this definition is the motivation for the name left coextensive. Furthermore, as follows from the proof of Proposition 2.2 of [1], \mathcal{C} is left coextensive if and only if for any $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ and any pair of morphisms $f \times g: X \times Y \longrightarrow A \times B$ the following diagram is a pushout.



Received by the editors 2019-06-04 and, in final form, 2019-10-15.

Transmitted by Tim Van der Linden. Published on 2019-10-18.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 18A30, 08B05.

Key words and phrases: Coextensivity, Universal Algebra, Syntactic Characterization.

[©] David Neal Broodryk, 2019. Permission to copy for private use granted.

Let \mathcal{C} be a variety of universal algebras and let F(X) denote the free algebra in \mathcal{C} on the set X. In particular we shall use $F(\{x\})$ which is the algebra consisting of terms of at most one variable. We shall also use $F(\emptyset)$ which is the algebra consisting of all constant terms and is the initial object in \mathcal{C} . When \mathcal{C} is left coextensive we have that $F(\emptyset)$ is non-empty which can be seen by taking $X = Y = B = F(\emptyset)$ in the above diagram. We shall fix a constant $0 \in F(\emptyset)$.

- 2. PROPOSITION. Let C be a variety, the following statements are equivalent:
 - 1. C is left coextensive.
 - 2. For all X, Y in C and any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, $((x, y), (x, 0)) \in C$ where C is the congruence on $X \times Y$ generated by the relation $R = \{((a, b), (a, c)) \in F(\emptyset)^4 | b = 0 \text{ or } c = 0\}.$
 - 3. There exists a natural number n such that $((x, x), (x, 0)) \in Q^n$, where Q is the reflexive homomorphic relation on $F(\{x\}) \times F(\{x\})$ generated by R.

PROOF. First note that by Proposition 4.1 of [1] we need only consider $X = Y = F(\emptyset)$ in the above diagram. Then the diagram is a pushout if and only if for any $m: A \times B \longrightarrow C$ and $n: X \longrightarrow C$ with $m(f \times g) = n\pi_1$ there exists a unique $\phi: A \longrightarrow C$ with $\phi p_1 = m$ and $\phi f = n$. However, for $X = Y = F(\emptyset)$ to give such a pair (n,m) is simply to give m such that m(a,b) = m(a,0) for all $(a,b) \in F(\emptyset)^2$. Such a ϕ exists exactly when m(a,b) = m(a,0) for all $(a,b) \in A \times B$. Therefore C is left coextensive if and only if for any A, B and $m: A \times B \longrightarrow C$ we have that m(a,b) = m(a,0) for all $(a,b) \in F(\emptyset)^2$ implies that m(a,b) = m(a,0) for all $(a,b) \in A \times B$. Written in terms of congruences this is simply statement 2 of the proposition. To attain statement 3 from statement 2 note that it is sufficient to consider $A = B = F(\{x\})$ and that the congruence generated by some symmetric relation R is simply the transitive closure of the reflexive homomorphic relation generated by R.

3. THEOREM. A variety of universal algebras C is left coextensive if and only if there exist (n+m)-ary terms u_0, \ldots, u_k , unary terms $t_0, \ldots, t_m, t'_0, \ldots, t'_m \in F(\{x\})$, and constants $e_0, \ldots, e_n, e'_0, \ldots, e'_n, e''_0, \ldots, e''_n \in F(\emptyset)$ such that $u_0 = x, u_k = 0$ and for all $0 \le i < k$ the following identities hold:

$$u_i(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) = x$$

$$u_i(t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_m, e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n) = u_{i+1}(t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_m, e''_1, e''_2, \dots, e''_n)$$

PROOF. By Proposition 2, C is left coextensive if and only if $((x, x), (x, 0)) \in Q^n$ for some natural n, which is true if and only if there exist $a_0, \ldots, a_k \in F(\{x\})$ such that $a_0 = x, a_k = 0$ and $((x, a_i), (x, a_{i+1})) \in Q$ for i < k. But $((x, a_i), (x, a_{i+1})) \in Q$ if and only if for some term u_i , terms $t_0, \ldots, t_{m_i}, t'_0, \ldots, t'_{m_i} \in F(\{x\})$, and constants $e_0, \ldots, e_{n_i}, e'_0, \ldots, e'_{n_i}, e''_0, \ldots, e''_{n_i} \in F(\emptyset)$ we have the following equalities:

DAVID NEAL BROODRYK

$$(x, a_i) = u_i((t_1, t'_1), \dots, (t_{m_i}, t'_{m_i}), (e_1, e''_1), \dots, (e_{n_i}, e''_{n_i}))$$

$$(x, a_{i+1}) = u_i((t_1, t'_1), \dots, (t_{m_i}, t'_{m_i}), (e_1, e'_1), \dots, (e_{n_i}, e'_{n_i}))$$

Furthermore we can assume that the t, t', e, e', e'' terms are the same for each *i*. Using this simplified notation gives, for all $0 \le i < k$:

$$u_i(t_1, \dots, t_m, e_1, \dots, e_n) = x$$

$$u_i(t'_1, \dots, t'_m, e'_1, \dots, e'_n) = a_{i+1} = u_{i+1}(t'_1, \dots, t'_m, e''_1, \dots, e''_n)$$

as required.

4. EXAMPLE. Let \mathcal{C} be a variety of universal algebras and suppose that the algebraic theory of \mathcal{C} has constants 0 and 1 and a binary term u with u(x,0) = 0 and u(x,1) = x. Then let $t_1 = t'_1 = x, e_1 = e''_1 = 1, e'_1 = 0, u_0 = x, u_1 = u, u_2 = 0$. Then we have that the required syntactic condition holds and so \mathcal{C} is left coextensive. Clearly this is true when \mathcal{C} is the variety of rings. However, unlike the variety of rings, \mathcal{C} is not co-extensive in general, i.e., $\times : X/\mathcal{C} \times Y/\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (X \times Y)/\mathcal{C}$ is fully faithful but not necessarily an equivalence. Therefore left coextensivity is different to coextensivity.

5. REMARK. It should be noted that this characterization is similar in a sense to [2] in spite of the fact that the varieties which satisfy the conditions characterized in each paper are completely different. It should also be noted that the paper [3] gives a syntactic characterization of coextensive varieties, however the meaning of syntactic characterization in [3] is different from the meaning in this paper.

References

- A. Carboni, S. Lack, and R. F. C. Walters, Introduction to extensive and distributive categories, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 84(2), 1993, 145-158.
- [2] Z. Janelidze, Characterization of pointed varieties of universal algebras with normal projections, Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 11, No. 9, 2003, 212-214.
- [3] A. Carboni, M. C. Pedicchio and J. Rosický, Syntactic characterizations of various classes of locally presentable categories, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 161(1-2), 65-90.

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town Rondebosch 7701

Email: BRDDAV020@myuct.ac.za

This article may be accessed at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/

1038

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. Full text of the journal is freely available at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS LATEX2e is required. Articles may be submitted in PDF by email directly to a Transmitting Editor following the author instructions at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/authinfo.html.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

TEXNICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: michael.barr@mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT $T_{\!E\!}X$ EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: <code>gavin_seal@fastmail.fm</code>

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: cberger@math.unice.fr Julie Bergner, University of Virginia: jeb2md (at) virginia.edu Richard Blute, Université d'Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca Gabriella Böhm, Wigner Research Centre for Physics: bohm.gabriella (at) wigner.mta.hu Valeria de Paiva: Nuance Communications Inc: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com Richard Garner, Macquarie University: richard.garner@mq.edu.au Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: getzler (at) northwestern(dot)edu Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch Dirk Hoffman, Universidade de Aveiro: dirk@ua.pt Pieter Hofstra, Université d'Ottawa: phofstra (at) uottawa.ca Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@math.au.dk Joachim Kock, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona: kock (at) mat.uab.cat Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mg.edu.au F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk Matias Menni, Conicet and Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina: matias.menni@gmail.com Ieke Moerdijk, Utrecht University: i.moerdijk@uu.nl Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca Kate Ponto, University of Kentucky: kate.ponto (at) uky.edu Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: rosolini@disi.unige.it Alex Simpson, University of Ljubljana: Alex.Simpson@fmf.uni-lj.si James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.upenn.edu Ross Street, Macquarie University: ross.street@mg.edu.au Tim Van der Linden, Université catholique de Louvain: tim.vanderlinden@uclouvain.be R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca